Considerate Marking and Feedback for Disabled Students

Introduction

Collective Acting Studio Ltd. aspires to create real opportunities for people from a diverse range of backgrounds and experiences. It is committed to widening access and delivering an excellent and enriching student experience.

Collective Acting Studio is proud of its status as a multicultural and inclusive institution, with students travelling from far and wide to come and study here. As a company we respect that everyone is different and works hard to remove any institutional barriers created consciously or unconsciously against some groups, so that all individuals have a fair chance to progress and develop.

The <u>OAA Code of Practice</u> for the assurance of academic quality and standards in HE recommends that: "disabled students and non-disabled students are offered learning opportunities that are equally accessible to them, by means of inclusive design wherever possible and by means of reasonable individual adjustments wherever necessary." (Part B; Chapter B3; 2012).

- Assessment methods and criteria are aligned to learning outcomes and teaching activities.
- Assessment is reliable, consistent, fair and valid.
- Assessment design is approached holistically.
- Assessment is inclusive and equitable.
- Assessment is explicit and transparent.
- Assessment and feedback is purposeful and supports the learning process.
- Assessment is timely.
- Assessment is efficient and manageable.



- Students are supported and prepared for assessment.
- Assessment encourages academic integrity.

Nb. This applies to marking as well as curriculum delivery.

This policy has been developed to maintain equality of opportunity for students whose disabilities affect literacy and/or language in assessment work / receiving feedback – written or oral. This applies to students diagnosed with Specific Learning Difficulties (SpLD) – dyslexia, dyspraxia, AD(H)D or dyscalculia and pre-linguistically deaf students. It includes the legal obligations that the institution, services, Faculties and staff are required to make under the Equality Act 2010, the processes in place for implementation and guidance for academic staff on marking assessments and giving feedback to disabled students.

Legal Context

In October 2010 the Equality Act became law. The <u>Equality Act 2010</u> consolidates and replaces all previous discrimination legislation (SENDA, 2002; DDA, 1995) which required HEI's to provide reasonable adjustments to enable disabled students to access the curriculum.

The Equality Challenge Unit 2010 guidance on 'Managing reasonable adjustments in higher education' reports that discrimination against disabled applicants or students can take place either by treating them 'less favourably' than others or by failing to make a 'reasonable adjustment' when they are placed at a 'substantial disadvantage' compared to non-disabled people.

Under the Equality Act 2010 (as with the previous legislation), it is permissible to treat a disabled person more favourably than a non-disabled person. For example, a disabled student may be eligible for a 7-day automatic extension which is not available to non-disabled students.

Disability legislation allows for specific courses which are validated by statutory professional bodies to impose specific requirements which may supersede this policy.

The Collective Acting Studio's key responsibilities in relation to disability under the Equality Act 2010 are:

- 1. A prohibition on discrimination arising from disability.
- 2. A duty to make reasonable adjustments.



A reasonable adjustment involves taking action to alleviate a substantial disadvantage either at a strategic institutional or individual level. The Collective Acting Studio as an institution accepts overall responsibility for implementation of anticipatory reasonable adjustments; however individual students and members of staff are required to take responsibility for individual reasonable adjustments and to assist The Collective Acting Studio by complying with practices outlined in policy documentation such as this –

Individual Reasonable Adjustments

Collective Acting Studio enables disabled students to access **individual reasonable** adjustments and support to ensure they are not placed at a **substantial disadvantage** for a reason relating to their disability.

Individual reasonable adjustments could include:

- 7-day automatic extensions
- Enabling assistive technology i.e. text to speech or mind mapping software
- Non-medical helper support i.e. Specialist Tutor / Mentor / Note taker / BSL Interpreter
- Additional Time Examinations / In-class Tests
- Permission to use a Dictaphone
- Considerate marking

Although students often receive support through assistive technology or specialist sessions, they may still require considerate marking / feedback adjustments.

Training & Competency Standards

Collective Acting Studio recognises that it has a duty to take reasonable steps to ensure all assessment and examination policies, practices and procedures provide disabled students with the same opportunity as their non-disabled peers to demonstrate the achievement of learning outcomes, without comprising **training or competence standards**. It is important that learning outcomes and assessment criteria are non-discriminatory.

Staff in Higher Education should be reassured that disability legislation (SENDA, 2001) fundamentally states the need to maintain the rigour of academic, training or competence standards. Higher Education Institutes and staff are not required to compromise competence standards of the courses they offer but support the implementation of reasonable adjustments which aim to allow disabled students to achieve their maximum potential.



If, therefore, when individual reasonable adjustments have been made, a disabled student is not able to demonstrate academic competence as specified by the course requirements, he or she will not be able to complete the course successfully.

The purpose of coursework, assessments and examinations is for students to demonstrate knowledge and understanding. There must be no difference in the requirement for disabled students to provide evidence of learning than for their non-disabled peers. However, as a direct result of their disability, the standard of written work of students diagnosed with a Specific Learning Difficulty (SpLD) or pre-linguistically deaf students may differ from that of their non-disabled peers, and it is these subtle differences for which markers may need to make adjustments.

Application and Procedure

This policy has been developed to maintain equality of opportunity for students whose disabilities affect literacy and/or language in assessment work – written or oral.

Students that experience 'substantial disadvantage' and where this **Assessment Considerate**Marking and Feedback Policy apply are:

 Students diagnosed with Specific Learning Difficulties (SpLD) – dyslexia, dyspraxia, AD(H)D and dyscalculia

Dyslexia Difficulties with handwriting, spelling, sentence

structure, grammar

Dyspraxia Poor or slow handwriting, poor structure in written

work, difficulties organising paragraphs

Attention Deficit Disorder Poor concentration, difficulties finishing work,

(with or without Hyperactivity) poor time management, impulsivity

Dyscalculia Problems with understanding basic number concepts

and relationships (not mathematical reasoning).

2. Pre-linguistically deaf students

Difficulties relating the use of English language including: vocabulary and word knowledge, knowledge of syntax/grammar, and the use of idiomatic and metaphorical language.

These students, once registered and appropriate evidence of their diagnosis/disability is provided will have 'Considerate Marking' listed as a reasonable adjustment.



Guidance for Academic Staff

The Association of Dyslexia Specialists in Higher Education (ADSHE) <u>Guidance for Good Practice: Institutional Marking Practices for Dyslexic Students</u> refers to the recommendations made by the Singleton Report: Dyslexia in Higher Education (1999) which supports the view that

"academic staff should discount as far as possible errors in spelling, grammar and punctuation in dyslexic students' work, and that marking instead should be based on content, ideas and critical acumen (Singleton et al, 1999)".

Marking Assessment Work

The aim of this guidance is to:

- ensure that students' assessment work is marked fairly, neither compensating or penalising for disability
- provide guidance for markers on good practice on marking students assessment work
- enable markers to give positive and constructive feedback

Although no two individuals experience the same combination of difficulties, the motor skills, information processing, memory, spelling/grammar/punctuation, difficulties with manipulating numbers, syntax and language acquisition of students diagnosed with a Specific Learning Difficulty / Difficulties or pre-linguistically deaf students are most typically affected. These students think in a holistic way which is non-verbal in format and does not lend itself to the linear nature of words and written formats.

Spelling, grammar and punctuation are rarely included as a marking criterion and therefore students, disabled or not, should not be penalised for minor errors that do not hinder the reader's ability to understand the content of the assessment work. However, if the spelling, punctuation and grammatical errors detract significantly from the content of the assessment work, it may be appropriate to start marking by proof-reading and making the necessary corrections. For academic standards to be safeguarded, considerate marking cannot extend to written expression so poor that coherence and intelligibility are an issue.

These difficulties are likely to be more acute under assessment conditions and during examinations and in-class tests students do not normally have access to spellcheck, assistive technology and no ability to re-order work if handwritten. Even though students may have individual reasonable adjustments for their coursework (i.e. 7 day automatic

(

Considerate Marking and Feedback Policy

extensions and/or Specialist One-to-One Study Skills Tuition) and examinations or in-class tests (i.e. additional time and/or use of a computer or scribe) these arrangements do not fully compensate for their difficulties.

Therefore the following considerations should be made when marking the work of a disabled student that is eligible for Considerate Marking.

Please note that a student with dyscalculia should have the considerate marking guidelines applied only to those aspects covering use and application of number

A Step-by-Step Marker's Guide

- 1. Make it clear to the student that the marking is about the learning outcomes and assessment criteria, and for ideas, knowledge and understanding of the subject content and analytical, critical and evaluative or other skills and not the technicalities of spelling, grammar and punctuation (unless specified as a learning outcome/competence standard)
- 2. Make the marking criteria for the module explicit in the module guide and/or in the virtual learning environment
- 3. Read the work quickly looking for ideas, understanding, knowledge and content rather than errors
- 4. Use a clear system for corrections indicating the type of error in the margin against the line they are found e.g. sp = spelling mistake / ss = sentence structure / pn = punctuation / gr = grammar / lt = layout / r = repetition / t = tense
- 5. Encourage students to go through their corrections with either their Specialist One-to-One Study Skills Support Tutor or in a follow up session with you
- 6. If you are commenting on spelling, grammar and punctuation, select a sample section rather than correcting the entire assessment work and inform the student that this is your approach
- 7. Make positive and constructive comments about what is good as well as how it can be improved



- 8. Make your comments brief, clear and concise and explain improvements in a straightforward and accessible way using simple sentences i.e. "You are not clear at this point" followed by "Do you mean..?" is more helpful than a vague comment such as "Does not make sense".
- 9. Written feedback should be word processed as students struggle to read handwriting
- **10.** Offer the student the opportunity to go over the comments with you in a face to-face appointment / Skype session

Giving Feedback on Assessments

Please be aware that many students diagnosed with a Specific Learning Difficulty (SpLD) or pre-linguistically deaf students will have experienced negative reactions to their written work throughout their educational lives.

Feedback should be offered in written and verbal formats to meet the needs of students varying learning styles visual / auditory.

Comment as far as possible on the strengths of the students' work and try the 'sandwich technique' when providing feedback:

positive comment / constructive advice for improvements / positive comment

Feedback should be word processed and attached to the students assessment work if providing feedback in the written format.

Avoid using innuendoes or nested/double negatives in written feedback; as disabled students often find it hard to 'read between the lines'.

Avoid using certain symbols such as crosses, question marks, exclamation marks when marking as these can be perceived as critical without adequate explanations to establish the reasons for use.

Assessing Oral Presentations

Although some eligible students favour oral presentations as an assessment method, others experience anxiety or even phobic reactions. Where these negative feelings occur, they can sometimes be linked to humiliating experiences of reading aloud at school.



Where a student has a significantly high level of anxiety over oral presentations, offer support and reassurance where possible. In extreme cases of anxiety or phobia, alternative methods can be explored, such as allowing the student to submit a video recording of his or her presentation or allowing the presentation to be made directly to the lecturer without other students present. This can be considered an interim measure if the student is willing to participate fully once his or her confidence has increased.

Marking Visual Aids

The purpose of assessing oral presentations given by eligible students is to avoid penalising them for mistakes made with grammar, spelling and punctuation or with speech production.

Establish the learning outcomes for the oral presentation in question. Mark the work with the intention of giving credit for the student's achievements in meeting these learning outcomes by focusing on the content and understanding of the topic.

Avoid penalising the student for mistakes with the basic skills errors on visual aids or handouts.

Please refer to the section titled 'Guidance for Academic Staff' – 'Marking Assessment Work' when marking the written content of visual aids i.e. PowerPoint slides.

Marking the Students Delivery

Several students can have speech and language difficulties that affect the pronunciation of words. Some experience a stammer when under pressure and this can cause high levels anxiety in formal speaking situations, such as oral presentations.

Avoid penalising the student for mistakes with the pronunciation of words or for misreading from the visual aids.

Students who are identified as being eligible for Considerate Marking whilst studying at Collective Acting Studio (for example through formal assessment of SpLD / medical evidence) are not entitled to have coursework re-marked that they submitted before their eligibility was identified. However, if such a student submits a claim for extenuating circumstances, it is appropriate to take into account that s/he has been studying with an unidentified disability and has therefore not received relevant support.